## MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

## Fred J. Hickernell

Make-Up Test 2

Tuesday, November 22, 2016

Instructions:

- i. This test has THREE questions, worth a total of 100 points. Attempt as many as you can.
- ii. The time allowed is 75 minutes.
- iii. This test is closed book, but you may use 4 double-sided letter-size sheets of notes.
- iv. (Programmable) calculators are allowed, but they must not have stored text.
- v. Show all your work to justify your answers. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit.

## 1. (33 points)

The Vasicek model for interest rate fluctations is

$$dr = \alpha(r_0 - r(t))dt + \sigma dB(t),$$

where r is the interest rate, t is time,  $r_0$  is the long term interest rate,  $\sigma$  is the volatility of the interest rate, and B is a Brownian motion. Given  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $r_0 = 0.01$ ,  $\sigma = 0.01$ , and r(1/4) = 0.015, give either an exact value for r(1/3) or a good approximation to r(1/3). You may take Z = -0.3890 to be an instance of a  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  random variable.

Answer: The exact solution is given by  $\Delta = 1/12$ ,

$$r(1/3) = e^{-\alpha \Delta} r(1/4) + (1 - e^{-\alpha \Delta}) r_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha \Delta}}{2\alpha}} Z = 0.0124.$$

An Euler method approximation is

$$r(1/3) \approx r(1/4) + \alpha(r_0 - r(1/4))\Delta + \sigma\sqrt{\Delta}Z = 0.0135.$$

## 2. (33 points)

Suppose that you are trying to improve a simple IID Monte Carlo estimate for  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(Y)$  by using a control variate X with mean  $\mu_X$ . Using the optimal control variate coefficient estimated from data,  $\hat{\beta} = 0.3$ , you find that the standard deviation of your control variate estimate for  $\mu$  is 1/5 of the standard deviation of the original simple IID Monte Carlo estimate for  $\mu$ .

a) If you needed 10<sup>6</sup> samples to reach your desired tolerance with the simple IID Monte Carlo estimate, how many samples will you need with the control variate estimate?

Answer: The sample size is proportional to the variance, so you will only need 1/25 the original number of samples or  $4 \times 10^4$  samples.

b) If you use as your control variate Z = 10X instead of X, what would be the optimal coefficient now? What would be the number of samples required to meet your tolerance using this new control variate?

Answer: Using Z as control variate gives the same improvement in the variance of the Monte Carlo estimator and reduction in the necessary sample size as using X. The optimal coefficient now becomes 0.3/10 = 0.03.

c) If you use two control variates, X and Z = 10X, how much smaller will the variance for your Monte Carlo estimator be than if you use X alone?

Answer: The variance will be the same. Using X or Z alone, or using X and Z together, gives the same estimator, because Z and X are essentially the same.

3. (34 points)

You want to approximate the integral  $\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2) d\boldsymbol{x}$  using a Monte Carlo algorithm.

a) Write a formula for  $\hat{\mu}$ , a Monte Carlo approximation to  $\mu$ , using  $X_1, \dots, X_{10\,000} \stackrel{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

Answer:

$$\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2) d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (2\pi) f(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{\exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2)}{2\pi} d\boldsymbol{x}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (2\pi) f(\boldsymbol{x}) \varrho(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$$

where  $\varrho$  is the probability density function (PDF) for the  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  distribution. So,

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{2\pi}{5\,000} \sum_{i=1}^{5\,000} f(X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}).$$

b) Write a formula for  $\tilde{\mu}$ , a Monte Carlo approximation to  $\mu$ , using  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{10\,000} \stackrel{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,4)$ . (These  $Z_i$  are independent of the  $X_i$  in the previous part.)

Answer: This is an example of importance sampling:

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{8\pi \exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2)}{\exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/(2 \times 4))} \frac{\exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/(2 \times 4))}{2\pi \times 4} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) 8\pi \exp(-3(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/8) \, \tilde{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is the probability density function (PDF) for the  $\mathcal{N}(0,4)$  distribution. So,

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{8\pi}{5000} \sum_{i=1}^{5000} f(Z_{2i-1}, Z_{2i}) \exp(-3(Z_{2i-1}^2 + Z_{2i}^2)/8).$$

c) You discover that the sample variance of the function values used to compute  $\tilde{\mu}$  is only 1/4 of the sample variance of the function values used to compute  $\hat{\mu}$ . For what value of  $\theta$  would  $(1-\theta)\hat{\mu} + \theta\tilde{\mu}$  be the best possible estimate for  $\mu$ ?

Answer: Note that  $(1-\theta)\hat{\mu} + \theta\tilde{\mu}$  is unbiased. The variances of the function values carry over to the variances of the two Monte Carlo approximations, since they are independent:

$$var((1 - \theta)\hat{\mu} + \theta\tilde{\mu}) = (1 - \theta)^{2} var(\hat{\mu}) + \theta^{2} var(\tilde{\mu}) = [(1 - \theta)^{2} + \theta^{2}/4] var(\hat{\mu})$$
$$= (1 - 2\theta + 5\theta^{2}/4) var(\hat{\mu}).$$

This is minimized by taking  $\theta = 4/5$ , so  $\hat{\mu}/5 + 4\tilde{\mu}/5$  is the best estimator, and it has a variance of  $var(\hat{\mu})/5$ .